Chiral edge fluctuations of colloidal membranes

Leroy L. Jia,¹ Mark J. Zakhary,² Zvonimir Dogic,² Robert A. Pelcovits,³ and Thomas R. Powers⁴

¹Division of Applied Mathematics, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912, USA

²Martin Fisher School of Physics, Brandeis University, 415 South Street, Waltham, Massachusetts 02454, USA

³Department of Physics, Brown University, 182 Hope Street, Providence, Rhode Island 02912, USA

⁴School of Engineering and Department of Physics, Brown University, 182 Hope Street, Providence, Rhode Island 02912, USA

(Received 13 December 2016; revised manuscript received 5 April 2017; published 30 June 2017)

We study edge fluctuations of a flat colloidal membrane comprised of a monolayer of aligned filamentous viruses. Experiments reveal that a peak in the spectrum of the in-plane edge fluctuations arises for sufficiently strong virus chirality. Accounting for internal liquid crystalline degrees of freedom by the length, curvature, and geodesic torsion of the edge, we calculate the spectrum of the edge fluctuations. The theory quantitatively describes the experimental data, demonstrating that chirality couples in-plane and out-of-plane edge fluctuations to produce the peak.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.95.060701

Surfaces that resist bending are ubiquitous in biophysics and soft matter physics. The physics of enclosed cellular membranes [1,2], organelles such as the endoplasmic reticulum [3,4], synthetic vesicles [5], polymersomes [6], surfactant interfaces [7], and microemulsions [8] is described by a simple model that accounts for the energy cost of bending with an effective bending modulus κ [1,9]. Furthermore, experiments have provided quantitative insight into how the bending modulus of such two-dimensional (2D) assemblages depends on the properties of the constituent molecules [10,11]. However, for many processes, such as vesicle fusion in exocytosis, trafficking of proteins, and the resealing of plasma membranes, the free energy associated with an exposed edge plays an equally important role [12]. In conventional membranes edges are associated with transient states that quickly disappear as the assemblage seals itself, making it difficult to experimentally study the properties of the edges.

Colloidal membranes are unique 2D assemblages comprising a single liquid-like layer monolayer of aligned rodlike viruses that are held together by osmotic pressure [13–16]. Although they are a few hundred times thicker, colloidal monolayer membranes share many properties with lipid bilayers, such as in-plane fluidity and resistance to bending. However, they also display distinctive properties, such as a propensity to have exposed edges, as well as shapes with negative Gaussian curvature [17]. In this Rapid Communication, we use experiments and theory to study the edge fluctuations of large, mostly flat colloidal membranes. We use an effective theory that treats the internal liquid-crystalline degrees of freedom using geometric properties of the membrane edge. In-plane fluctuations are mainly determined by the edge tension and associated bending rigidity. Out-of-plane height fluctuations distort the membrane surface leading to saddlesplay deformations and are thus influenced by the Gaussian curvature modulus. We show that the intrinsic chirality of the membrane couples in-plane and out-of-plane fluctuations yielding a fluctuation spectrum with an anomalous peak, and that this peak reflects the instability of a flat disk to a shape with edges of a helical nature. Accurate three-dimensional (3D) imaging of edge fluctuations requires 3D scanning, and therefore a higher temporal resolution than 2D imaging. The advantage of our approach is that our 2D measurements reveal the coupling between in-plane and out-of plane fluctuations arising from chirality and the Gaussian curvature modulus.

Colloidal membranes were assembled by mixing a dilute isotropic suspension of monodisperse rod-like fd-wt viruses with a nonadsorbing polymer, Dextran (M.W. 500,000, 37 mg/ml) [13]. The fd-wt filaments are 0.88 μ m long and have a diameter of ≈ 6 nm. The rods are parallel to the surface normal and to each other in the membrane interior, but they twist at the edge [Fig. 1(a)] to minimize the interfacial area between the rods and the enveloping polymer depletant [14,18]. Increasing the rod chirality raises the free energy of the interior untwisted rods and lowers the free energy of the twisted rods near the edge [15]. Chirality of fd-wt increases with decreasing temperature [19], enabling in situ control of the edge tension. With decreasing temperature the edge tension becomes sufficiently low that a 2D membrane becomes unstable and undergoes a transition into one-dimensional twisted ribbons [15].

Following previously published methods [20], we measured the in-plane fluctuation spectrum of an exposed colloidal membrane edge. The edge fluctuations were quantified over a range of temperatures (Fig. 2 and Supplemental Material [21]). For all conditions, the curves tend to a constant value at small wave number q and fall off as $1/q^2$ at large wave number, as in the previous measurements. However, for strongly chiral systems at lower temperatures, a peak develops around $q = 1 \,\mu \text{m}^{-1}$. We note that the measured fluctuation spectrum depends on the purity of the virus preparation as well as the depleting polymer Dextran. Certain virus preparations do not exhibit the membrane-to-ribbon transition. These samples also do not have a fluctuation spectrum with a well-defined peak. The exact nature of the contaminants in these samples has not been determined. Previous work has demonstrated that even a single actin filament exhibits a strong tendency to dissolve at the edge and can suppress the membrane-to-ribbon transition [20]. In the remainder of this work we restrict our analysis to only those sample preparations that exhibited a well-defined ribbon-to-membrane transition and thus the anomalous peak.

The in-plane edge fluctuations in the high-temperature achiral limit are described by a simple model, which approximates



FIG. 1. (a) Schematic of a colloidal membrane (b) Semi-infinite surface with a helix-like boundary. (c) Same shape viewed from above, looking down the z axis at the x-y plane.

a flat, circular membrane as a semi-infinite membrane with an infinite straight edge [15]. For in-plane fluctuations, the effective energy of the edge is given by

$$E_1 = \int ds \left[\gamma + \frac{B}{2} k^2 \right],\tag{1}$$



FIG. 2. (a) Normalized power spectrum $s_q^2 \equiv q^2 \langle u_q u_{-q} \rangle$ in which c^* (in units of $k_B T$) and γ (in units of $k_B T/\mu m$) are fit to the data, with $\kappa/\bar{\kappa} \to \infty$, $B = 100 k_{\rm B} T \mu {\rm m}$, and $\bar{\kappa} = 50 k_{\rm B} T$. The value of B used was found by fitting the $T = 60 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ data to the achiral formula (2). (b) Values of c^* obtained from fitting (left vertical axis), and values of γ obtained from fitting (right vertical axis).



PHYSICAL REVIEW E 95, 060701(R) (2017)



FIG. 3. Phase diagram for flat and rippled edges of chiral membranes as a function of Gaussian curvature modulus $\bar{\kappa}$ and chiral modulus c^* for various values of line tension γ , $B = 100 k_{\rm B} T \mu {\rm m}$, and $\kappa = 150 k_{\rm B} T$. The flat edge is stable in the shaded area.

where s is the edge arc length, γ is the line tension, B is the bending stiffness, and k is the curvature. For a flat membrane lying in the x-y plane, we describe the path of the edge by (u(y), y, 0), where u(y) measures the local deviation of the edge from being perfectly straight. Expanding the energy (1)to second order in u and applying the equipartition theorem vields

$$\langle u_q u_{-q} \rangle = \frac{k_{\rm B} T}{Bq^4 + \gamma q^2},\tag{2}$$

where u_q is the Fourier amplitude defined by u(y) = $(1/\sqrt{L})\sum_{q} u_q \exp iqy$, with the sum running over negative and positive values of q, and we have enforced periodic boundary conditions with period L. Fitting the high-temperature spectrum of achiral rods to Eq. (2) yields γ and B [15].

As mentioned above, the line tension γ depends on chirality. The typical order of magnitude for the line tension is $\gamma \approx 100 k_{\rm B}T \,\mu{\rm m}$ [15], but we can estimate its dependence on chirality by examining the energy density of the twisted rods near the edge of a semi-infinite membrane [14]. The total energy per unit length of a flat membrane in the limit of weak chirality is $\gamma = \gamma_0 - K_2 \lambda D q_0^2/2$, where γ_0 is the line tension of an achiral membrane, K_2 (measured to be $\approx 100k_{\rm B}T/\mu m$ [19]) is the twist Frank constant, λ is the twist penetration depth, D is the membrane thickness, and q_0 is the preferred twist. The bend modulus B may also be estimated by considering the liquid crystal degrees of freedom at the edge. The edge of a colloidal membrane assumes a surface-tension-minimizing semicircular profile. Consequently, at the edge, the rodlike viruses lie in the plane of the membrane, tending to be parallel to the edge [Fig. 1(a)]. If the edge is curved, these rods will not be aligned and will thus give rise to a liquid-crystal bend energy penalty. Using the twist penetration depth λ and the Frank elastic constant K_3 for bend, dimensional analysis implies $B \approx DK_3\lambda$, where $D \approx$ $1 \,\mu m$ is the membrane thickness. Assuming the one-coupling constant approximation $K_3 \approx K_2$, and taking and $\lambda \approx 1 \,\mu$ m, yields $B \approx 100 k_{\rm B} T \,\mu {\rm m}$, which agrees with measurements of the in-plane fluctuations of the edge of a large flat membrane [15].

Next we show that chirality also couples the in-plane and out-of-plane fluctuations of the edge and ultimately leads to a different q dependence in the power spectrum if the chirality is sufficiently strong. Using the full liquid crystal theory for colloidal membranes [22] to calculate the power spectrum of the fluctuating edge of a curved surface is a daunting task. We note that the twist of the virus particles is limited to a region near the edge, which is much thinner than the membrane size. Therefore, we use an effective theory in which the energetic cost of the nonuniform liquid crystalline distortions are described by the membrane's geometric properties alone. A closely related effective theory has been successfully used to calculate the scalloped shapes of colloidal membranes composed of a mixture of viruses of opposite handedness [17,23]. The edge energy E_1 (1) is invariant under mirror reflections and is therefore achiral. To account for the chirality of the membrane, in addition to the tension and bending terms, we include the following terms in the edge energy [23-25]:

$$E^* = \frac{B'}{2} \int ds (\tau_{\rm g} - \tau_{\rm g}^*)^2, \qquad (3)$$

where B' is a bending modulus, τ_g is the geodesic torsion, and τ_g^* is the preferred value of the geodesic torsion. The geodesic torsion τ_g is the rate that the surface normal $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_C$ at the edge C twists about the tangent vector: $\tau_{\rm g} = \hat{\mathbf{T}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_C \times d\hat{\mathbf{n}}_C / ds$ [26]. Note that unlike the ordinary torsion of a curve [26], the geodesic torsion is well defined even for a straight line. Furthermore, the term E^* is invariant under replacing the surface normal by its reverse, $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \mapsto -\hat{\mathbf{n}}$, as expected for a symmetric membrane. Also, the cross term in E^* breaks mirror symmetry because the sign of the geodesic torsion changes when the handedness of the edge changes. It is convenient to use dimensional analysis to assume $B \approx B'$ and introduce the modulus $c^* = -B\tau_g^*$. Since the preference for a definite handedness of the edge ultimately arises from the intrinsic twist of the virus particles, the modulus c^* must be proportional to q_0 , the preferred rate of twist of the viruses. We estimate $c^* \approx DK_2q_0\lambda$, where K_2 is the twist elastic constant. For $q_0 \approx 1 \,\mu \text{m}^{-1}$, and invoking the commonly used one-Frank constant approximation $K_2 \approx K_3$, we estimate $c^* \approx 100 k_{\rm B} T$.

The absence of mirror symmetry leads to a preference for helical edge fluctuations that couple in-plane and out-of-plane fluctuations. Since the distortions of the membrane with a helical edge penetrate into the interior, we must also consider the membrane bending energy. For a thin membrane, the bending energy is given by the Canham-Helfrich energy [1,9],

$$E_2 = \frac{\kappa}{2} \int dA(2H)^2 + \bar{\kappa} \int dAK, \qquad (4)$$

where $H = (1/R_1 + 1/R_2)/2$ is the mean curvature, R_1 and R_2 are the principal radii of curvature, $K = 1/(R_1R_2)$ is the Gaussian curvature, κ is the bending modulus, and $\bar{\kappa}$ is the Gaussian curvature modulus. Although the thickness of the membrane, $D \approx 1 \,\mu$ m, is comparable to the length

PHYSICAL REVIEW E 95, 060701(R) (2017)

scale $q^{-1} \approx 1 \,\mu\text{m}$ of ripples observed at the edge of a membrane disk undergoing the transition to a twisted ribbon, we will proceed with the assumption that the membrane is thin. Note that since the membrane has an edge, the Gauss-Bonnet theorem implies that the contribution from the bending energy from the Gaussian curvature term depends on membrane shape, in contrast with the case of a closed vesicle [26,27].

Early work demonstrated that the height fluctuations of colloidal membranes scales as $1/q^3$ [13], leading to an estimate of $\kappa \approx 150 k_B T$. Recent measurements and theoretical estimates of the Gaussian curvature modulus show that $\bar{\kappa} \approx 200 k_B T$ in colloidal membranes [17]. The positive value of $\bar{\kappa}$ is in striking contrast to the case of lipid bilayer membranes, where $\bar{\kappa}$ is typically negative due to the compressive stress in the head groups [28–30]. However, $\bar{\kappa}$ can be positive in smectic liquid crystals [31] and block copolymers [32].

To study the stability of a flat membrane and the out-ofplane fluctuations of its edge, we calculate the energy of a semi-infinite membrane with a rippled edge, working to second order in the deformation. The membrane is initially flat with midsurface at the z = 0 plane and occupying x < 0. The y axis is the initially straight edge. We perturb the surface by deforming the edge so that the position of points on the membrane above the coordinates in the plane (x, y) are given by $\mathbf{R}(x, y) = (x, y, \zeta(x, y))$, and with the edge given by $\mathbf{R}_{C}(y) = (u(y), y, v(y))$, which implies the boundary condition $\zeta(u(y), y) = v(y)$. If u(y) and v(y) are sinusoidal and out of phase, the edge will have a helical nature as illustrated in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c). Care must be taken in calculating the edge quantities since we must expand both the quantities themselves and their arguments. For example, to find the geodesic torsion at the edge we expand the argument of the normal at the edge, $\mathbf{n}_C(u(y), y) \approx \mathbf{n}(0, y) + u \partial \mathbf{n}_C / \partial x|_{x=0}.$

Expanding the energy to second order, we find the total energy $E = E_1 + E_2 + E^*$,

$$E = \int dx \, dy \left\{ \frac{\kappa}{2} (\nabla^2 \zeta)^2 + \bar{\kappa} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial y^2} - \left(\frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial x \partial y} \right)^2 \right] \right\} + \int dy \left[\frac{\gamma}{2} (u'^2 + v'^2) + \frac{B}{2} (u''^2 + v''^2) \right] + \int dy \left[\frac{B}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial x \partial y} \right)_{x=0}^2 + c^* u' v'' \right],$$
(5)

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to y, e.g., u' = du/dy. To first order the Euler-Lagrange equation for the energy (5) is $(\nabla^2)^2 \zeta = 0$. Since the horizontal and vertical positions of the edge are prescribed, we do not enforce the force boundary conditions at the edge. The condition of zero bending moment at the boundary to first order [33] is $\kappa \nabla^2 \zeta + \bar{\kappa} \partial^2 \zeta / \partial y^2 = 0$ at x = 0. The solution to the Euler-Lagrange equations that satisfies this boundary condition and $\zeta(u(y), y) = v(y)$ is

$$\zeta(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{q} v_q \left(1 + \frac{\bar{\kappa}}{2\kappa} |q|x \right) \exp\left(|q|x + iqy\right).$$
(6)

Note that when $\kappa \gg \bar{\kappa}$, the surface becomes a minimal surface. To keep the area fixed, the whole surface must shift in the negative x direction; however, this shift is second order in the v_q and does not affect the energy to leading order.

Inserting the Fourier expansions of $\zeta(x, y)$, u(y), and v(y) into the total energy yields

$$E = \sum_{q} \left\{ \left[-\bar{\kappa} |q|^{3} \frac{4\kappa + \bar{\kappa}}{4\kappa} + \frac{\gamma}{2} q^{2} + \frac{B}{2} \left(\frac{2\kappa + \bar{\kappa}}{2\kappa} \right)^{2} q^{4} \right] \times |v_{q}|^{2} + \left(\frac{\gamma}{2} q^{2} + \frac{B}{2} q^{4} \right) |u_{q}|^{2} - \frac{i}{2} c^{*} q^{3} (u_{q} v_{-q} - u_{-q} v_{q}) \right\}.$$
(7)

Using the expression for the energy (7) we can study the stability of a flat semi-infinite membrane. We first consider the achiral case, $c^* = 0$, which applies at high temperatures $(T \ge 60 \,^{\circ}\text{C})$. The horizontal and vertical fluctuations of the edge are decoupled, and ripples u_q in the plane of the membrane always increase the energy. However, when the Gaussian curvature modulus is larger than $\bar{\kappa}_c$, where $\bar{\kappa}_c$ solves $\bar{\kappa}_c(4\kappa + \bar{\kappa}_c) = \sqrt{\gamma B}(4\kappa + 2\bar{\kappa}_c)$, then it is energetically favorable for ripples v_q in the vertical (positive z) direction to form [21]. Using the typical values for *B* and κ quoted above, and the value $\gamma \approx 300 k_B T$ we find from fitting the fluctuation spectrum at high temperature [see below and Fig. 2(b)], we estimate $\bar{\kappa}_c \approx 50 k_B T$. The wave number of the ripple that forms just at the critical condition $\bar{\kappa} = \bar{\kappa}_c$ is $q_c = \sqrt{\gamma B}/(1 + \bar{\kappa}_c/2\kappa) \approx 1 \,\mu\text{m}^{-1}$.

In the chiral case, we study the stability of the flat membrane with an edge by writing $E = \sum_q (u_q, v_q) M_q (u_{-q}, v_{-q})^T/2$ and diagonalizing M_q to find its eigenvalues $\sigma_{\pm} = \gamma q^2 + Bq^4 [1 + (1 + \bar{\kappa}/2\kappa)^2]/2 - |q|^3 [\bar{\kappa}(1 + \bar{\kappa}/4\kappa) \mp \sqrt{c^{*2} + \bar{\kappa}^2(1 + \bar{\kappa}/4\kappa)^2(B|q|/2\kappa - 1)^2}]$. In this case, either chirality or the Gaussian curvature modulus can drive an instability. The condition for a rippled edge is $\sigma_- \ge 0$, and the critical values for the Gaussian modulus $\bar{\kappa}_c$ and marginally unstable wave number q_c are determined by the conditions $\sigma_- = 0$ and $\partial \sigma_- / \partial q = 0$. These equations lead to complicated expressions for q_c and the critical values of $\bar{\kappa}$ and c^* , which are plotted in Fig. 3. However, the expressions simplify in the limit $B \gg B'$, where the critical wave number is $q_c = \sqrt{\gamma/B}$, and the critical values of $\bar{\kappa}$ and c^* satisfy

$$\bar{\kappa}\left(\frac{4\kappa+\bar{\kappa}}{4\kappa}\right) + \sqrt{c^{*2} + \bar{\kappa}^2 \left(\frac{4\kappa+\bar{\kappa}}{4\kappa}\right)^2} = 2\sqrt{\gamma B}.$$
 (8)

Note that the factor of ic^* in the off-diagonal components of M_q leads to a phase difference between the x and z components of the eigenvectors of M_q , and thus a preferred handedness to the edge depending on the sign of c^* .

The energy (7) along with the equipartition theorem yields the power spectrum for in-plane and out-of-plane edge fluctuations, which is valid for stable flat states:

$$\langle u_q u_{-q} \rangle = \frac{k_B T}{Bq^4 + \gamma q^2 - c^{*2} q^4 / \Delta(q)} \tag{9}$$

$$\langle v_q v_{-q} \rangle = \frac{k_B I}{\Delta(q) - c^{*2} q^4 / (Bq^2 + \gamma)},$$
 (10)

PHYSICAL REVIEW E 95, 060701(R) (2017)

where
$$\Delta(q) = Bq^4 \{1 + [1 + \bar{\kappa}/(2\kappa)]^2\} + \gamma q^2 - 2\bar{\kappa}|q|^3 [1 + \bar{\kappa}/(4\kappa)].$$

Note that c^* , κ , and $\bar{\kappa}$ only affect the fluctuations for intermediate q; the large and small q behavior is controlled by the bending stiffness and line tension, respectively, just as in the case of an achiral membrane. Note also that the out-of-plane fluctuations of the edge of an achiral membrane have a distinctly different q dependence than the in-plane fluctuations of Eq. (2): $\langle v_q v_{-q} \rangle = k_{\rm B} T / \Delta(q)$ when $c^* = 0$.

As the temperature is lowered, the value of γ decreases while chirality and thus c^* increases. The system therefore comes closer to fulfilling the condition (8) for ripples to form, leading to a peak near q_c . Figure 2 shows fits of the theoretical expression (9) for $s_q^2 \equiv q^2 \langle u_q u_{-q} \rangle$ assuming $\kappa = 150 k_{\rm B}T$, $B = 100 k_{\rm B}T \ \mu$ m, and $\bar{\kappa} = 50 k_{\rm B}T$, along with the values of γ and c^* obtained from fitting each curve [21]. The value of B used was found by fitting the $T = 60^{\circ}$ C data to the achiral formula (2). Because B does not change appreciably with T, all curves collapse onto a single line in the large qlimit. Similarly, $\bar{\kappa}$ is not expected to depend significantly on T and was fixed for fitting. Because both $\bar{\kappa}$ and c^* control the size of the peak, fixing $\bar{\kappa}$ also allows the effect of c^* to be assessed more accurately. The magnitude of the $\bar{\kappa}$ used in our fits is smaller than but still comparable in magnitude with the recent experimental measurements and theoretical estimates that yield $\bar{\kappa} \approx 200 k_{\rm B} T$ [17].

The values of γ and c^* from the fitting have the expected order of magnitude and obey the expected trend of γ increasing and c^* decreasing to zero as the temperature increases [21]. Although the fits capture the shape of the peak well, there is some discrepancy with the experimental data at the smallest measured values of q. There are two main reasons for this discrepancy. First, the characteristic widths of the peaks are fairly large, and there are not enough data points taken at small enough q to escape the influence of the peak. Second, when q decreases, the fluctuation relaxation time increases rapidly. The longer relaxation time leads to poor statistics, since it reduces the number of configurations over which the data can be averaged. Consequently, the fits tend to underestimate γ when the temperature is low.

To conclude, we have measured the small-amplitude fluctuations of the edge of a colloidal membrane, and we found that as the chirality increases, a peak forms at a characteristic wavelength. Our effective geometric theory captures the important features of the measurement such as the formation of the peak and shows how the Gaussian curvature modulus affects the fluctuations when chirality couples the undulations of the edge in and out of the plane of the membrane. We have also calculated the power spectrum for out-of-plane fluctuations of the edge, which would be especially interesting to measure in the achiral case, as it offers another method of estimating the Gaussian curvature modulus $\bar{\kappa}$.

T.R.P. thanks Brandeis University for its hospitality while some of this work was done. We thank A. Balchunas, A. Evans, and J. Guven for helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation through Grants No. MRSEC-1420382 (Z.D., L.L.J., R.A.P., and T.R.P.), No. BMAT-1609742 (Z.D.), and No. CMMI-1634552 (L.L.J., R.A.P., and T.R.P.).

CHIRAL EDGE FLUCTUATIONS OF COLLOIDAL MEMBRANES

- [1] W. Helfrich, Z. Naturforsh. 28c, 693 (1973).
- [2] W. Helfrich and H. J. Deuling, J. Phys. (Paris) Colloq. 36, C1-327 (1975).
- [3] M. Terasaki, T. Shemesh, N. Kasthuri, R. W. Klemm, R. Schalek, K. J. Hayworth, A. R. Hand, M. Yankova, G. Huber, J. W. Lichtman, T. A. Rapoport, and M. M. Kozlov, Cell 154, 285 (2013).
- [4] J. Guven, G. Huber, and D. M. Valencia, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 188101 (2014).
- [5] U. Seifert, K. Berndl, and R. Lipowsky, Phys. Rev. A 44, 1182 (1991).
- [6] D. E. Discher and F. Ahmed, Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng. 8, 323 (2006).
- [7] S. T. Milner and T. A. Witten, J. Phys. France 49, 1951 (1988).
- [8] P. G. DeGennes and C. Taupin, J. Phys. Chem. 86, 2294 (1982).
- [9] P. Canham, J. Theor. Biol. 26, 61 (1970).
- [10] E. Evans and W. Rawicz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 2094 (1990).
- [11] W. Rawicz, K. C. Olbrich, T. McIntosh, D. Needham, and E. Evans, Biophys. J. 79, 328 (2000).
- [12] L. V. Chernomordik and M. M. Kozlov, Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 15, 675 (2008).
- [13] E. Barry and Z. Dogic, Proc. Natl. Acad. Soc. USA 107, 10348 (2010).
- [14] E. Barry, Z. Dogic, R. B. Meyer, R. A. Pelcovits, and R. Oldebourg, J. Phys. Chem. B 113, 3910 (2009).
- [15] T. Gibaud, E. Barry, M. J. Zakhary, M. Henglin, A. Ward, Y. Yang, C. Berciu, R. Oldenbourg, M. F. Hagan, D. Nicastro, R. B. Meyer, and Z. Dogic, Nature (London) 481, 348 (2012).
- [16] M. J. Zakhary, T. Gibaud, C. N. Kaplan, E. Barry, R. Oldenbourg, R. B. Meyer, and Z. Dogic, Nature Comm. 5, 3063 (2014).

PHYSICAL REVIEW E 95, 060701(R) (2017)

- [17] T. Gibaud, C. N. Kaplan, P. Sharma, M. J. Zakhary, A. Ward, R. Oldenbourg, R. B. Meyer, R. D. Kamien, T. R. Powers, and Z. Dogic, Proc. Natl. Acad. Soc. USA **114**, E3376 (2017).
- [18] L. Kang, T. Gibaud, Z. Dogic, and T. C. Lubensky, Soft Matter 12, 386 (2016).
- [19] Z. Dogic and S. Fraden, Langmuir 16, 7820 (2000).
- [20] M. J. Zakhary, P. Sharma, A. Ward, S. Yardimici, and Z. Dogic, Soft Matter 9, 8306 (2013).
- [21] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevE.95.060701 for movies of edge fluctuations at different temperatures and additional figures.
- [22] C. N. Kaplan, H. Tu, R. A. Pelcovits, and R. B. Meyer, Phys. Rev. E 82, 021701 (2010).
- [23] C. N. Kaplan, T. Gibaud, and R. B. Meyer, Soft Matter 9, 8210 (2013).
- [24] O.-Y. Zhong-can and L. Jixing, Phys. Rev. A **43**, 6826 (1991).
- [25] Z. C. Tu and U. Seifert, Phys. Rev. E 76, 031603 (2007).
- [26] D. Struik, *Lectures on Classical Differential Geometry*, 2nd ed. (Dover Publications, New York, 1988).
- [27] R. D. Kamien, Rev. Mod. Phys. 74, 953 (2002).
- [28] D. P. Siegel and M. M. Kozlov, Biophys. J. 87, 366 (2004).
- [29] M. Hu, J. J. Briguglio, and M. Deserno, Biophys. J. 102, 1403 (2012).
- [30] M. Hu, D. H. de Jong, S. J. Marrink, and M. Deserno, Faraday Discuss. 161, 365 (2013).
- [31] P. Boltenhagen, O. D. Lavrentovich, and M. Kléman, Phys. Rev. A 46, R1743 (1992).
- [32] Z.-G. Wang, Macromolecules 25, 3702 (1992).
- [33] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Theory of Elasticity*, 3rd ed. (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1986).